International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research Vol. 21, No. 12, pp. 1-20, December 2022 https://doi.org/10.26803/ijlter.21.12.1 Received Aug 29, 2022; Revised Nov 9, 2022; Accepted Dec 12, 2022

# Acceptance of the GeoGebra Application in Learning Circle Theorems

#### Nxumalo Mfanasibili Philemon

University of Zululand, Faculty of Education Department of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education

# Admire Chibisa

University of Zululand, Faculty of Education Department of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education

# Maria Siwela Mabusela 🙂

University of Zululand, Faculty of Education Department of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education

**Abstract**. The learning area of circle theorems is one of the most difficult topics in geometry, resulting in low student performance. GeoGebra has been shown in studies to enhance learners' proficiency in circle theorems. However, pre-service teachers' use of GeoGebra is not at the expected level in Eswathini. The adoption of an information system is reliant on its acceptance by individuals. However, little is known regarding preservice teachers' use of GeoGebra to understand circle theorems. The goal of this study was to investigate pre-service teachers' perceptions of GeoGebra's suitability for learning circle theorems. A cross-sectional survey design was used in this investigation, with a total of 187 preservice instructors as participants. The model explained 74.9% of the variance in the acceptability of GeoGebra for learning circle theorems by Eswatini pre-service teachers. According to the findings, task-technology fit, system quality, system compatibility, perceived ease of use, perceived usefulness, perceived attitude toward, and user satisfaction account for 74.9% of the variance in actual use. The study's findings revealed that rural Eswatini pre-service teachers' reported attitude toward using the mathematics software application GeoGebra for learning circle theorems was the strongest direct predictor of actual use. This research shows that pre-service teachers' views toward technology integration in education should be positive for educational learning applications to be successfully adopted in Eswatini teacher training institutes.

**Keywords**: circle theorems; GeoGebra; pre-service teachers; task-technology fit; technology acceptance model

#### 1. Introduction

Geometry, as a branch of mathematics, is critical in assisting mathematicians and students of mathematics in appreciating and comprehending the space, shape, and orientation of numerous bodies and objects in our universe (Jin et al., 2021). Geometry includes circle theorems, which allow "mathematicians and students of mathematics to grasp circular space, shape, and orientation in this world" (Badu-Domfeh, 2020, p. 1). Circle theorems are regarded as one of the most difficult sections of Geometry, resulting in poor student performance (Kwadwo & Asomani, 2021). According to studies (Adolphus, 2011; Erdoğan et al., 2011; Kwadwo & Asomani, 2021), the difficulty in teaching and learning Geometry, particularly circle theorems, results in poor learners' performance. For this reason, studying circle theorems, particularly in teacher training institutions, need more creative techniques that will improve pre-service teachers' circle theorems comprehension and skills (Amevor & Bayaga, 2021; Kwadwo & Asomani, 2021; Tay & Wonkyi, 2018). Some of these novel ways that are recognized to aid learners' knowledge of circle theorems include the use of educational technology, notably the incorporation of mathematics software into the teaching and learning of circle theorems (Amevor & Bayaga, 2021; Kovács, 2018; Tay & Wonkyi, 2018). GeoGebra is a popular mathematics application software in the teaching and learning of circle theorems (Adhikari, 2021; Arbain & Shukor, 2015; Tay & Wonkyi, 2018).

Studies have shown that GeoGebra software can improve learners' performance in circle theorems (Adhikari, 2021; Mushipe & Ogbonnaya, 2019; Pamungkas et al., 2020; Tay & Wonkyi, 2018; Tran & Nguyen, 2020). However, the adoption of GeoGebra is lower than expected (Ganesan & Eu, 2020; Mutambara & Bayaga, 2020c; Nwoke & Chidi, 2020). According to Padmanathan and Jogulu (2018), the proper deployment and use of an information system are dependent on individuals' acceptance.

Mutambara and Bayaga (2020c) stated in an educational context that learners' use of educational technologies is dependent on their acceptance of these technologies. According to the findings of Padmanathan and Jogulu (2018) and Mutambara and Bayaga (2020c), one can conclude that the successful adoption of GeoGebra by pre-service teachers is contingent on their acceptance of it. However, little is understood about GeoGebra's acceptance for learning circle theorems (Chen, 2020).

Mukamba and Makamure (2020) observed a scarcity of studies focusing on factors that pre-service teachers consider important when accepting GeoGebra. Additionally, Aman et al. (2020) also advocated for more research in the acceptance of GeoGebra by pre-service teachers.

A considerable amount of research has been carried out on the use of GeoGebra in the mathematics classroom (Aman et al., 2020; Belgheis & Kamalludeen, 2018; Chen, 2020; Johar, 2021; Septian & Monariska, 2021; Venter, 2015). Venter (2015) investigated in-service teachers' acceptance of GeoGebra. Septian and Monariska (2021) focused on what motivates learners to use GeoGebra for learning mathematics. However, there are very few studies that have focused on factors that influence the acceptance of GeoGebra (Aman et al., 2020; Belgheis & Kamalludeen, 2018; Chen, 2020; Johar, 2021).

Chen (2020) and (Johar, 2021) assessed the acceptance of GeoGebra by university students. Aman et al. (2020) and Belgheis and Kamalludeen (2018) looked at the factors that pre-service teachers consider important when accepting GeoGebra, but these were all conducted in developed countries, so their generalization to developing countries may be limited. Additionally, Mutambara and Bayaga (2020b) called for developing countries to carry out their own acceptance of educational technology studies, and not to follow examples in developed countries blindly. This therefore, calls for the need for investigating the use and acceptance of GeoGebra in a rural setting of a developing country.

Based on the preceding arguments, the purpose of this study was to examine preservice teachers' acceptance of GeoGebra in the learning of circle theorems. In doing so, this study combined the technology acceptance model (TAM) and the task-technology fit (TTF) to create a new model that predicts the acceptance of GeoGebra for learning circle theorems.

#### 2. Literature Review

#### 2.1 Application of GeoGebra in the Classroom

Korenova (2017) investigated the use of GeoGebra among children between nine and 11 years of age on their attitudes and achievements. The findings were similar to the findings of previous studies (Sheikh Qasem, 2020; Suryani et al., 2020; Zulnaidi et al., 2020) which revealed that GeoGebra improves learners' performance in Geometry. Additionally, the results indicated that learners had a positive attitude towards GeoGebra (Safrida et al., 2020). These results concur with the findings of Boo and Leong (2016), which stated that learners were able to express their geometric imagination and understanding of mathematical concepts after using GeoGebra. The study's findings also demonstrated that GeoGebra can make classroom lessons more fun and intriguing (Boo & Leong, 2016).

In a study by Safrida et al. (2020) to investigate the effect of GeoGebra on university students' learners' performance in Geometry, the findings revealed a considerable difference in learners' pre-test and post-test scores. The results showed that GeoGebra is a useful supplement to traditional teaching. Similarly, Baltaci and Yildiz (2015) added that GeoGebra is dynamic, easy to apply, and can improve learners' performance.

Another study was carried out in Zimbabwe by Mukamba and Makamure (2020) on the effects of teaching and learning geometric transformations at Ordinary Level. The results agree with the findings of Arbain and Shukor (2015), who found that learners had positive attitudes towards the use of GeoGebra and had better learning achievement using GeoGebra. Arbain and Shukor (2015) added that GeoGebra can benefit learners' mathematics learning and diversifying learning in the classrooms.

#### 2.2 Factors that Influence Pre-Service Teachers to use GeoGebra

The technology acceptance model was used by Kalogiannakis and Papadakis (2019) to predict pre-service teachers' acceptance of GeoGebra. Pre-service teachers' perceived usefulness (PU) was predicted by perceived ease of use (PEOU) and they were both determinants of perceived attitude towards (ATT) use (Kalogiannakis & Papadakis, 2019). The positive influence of PEOU on ATT was also supported by Pittalis (2020), who stressed that pre-service teachers'

attitude towards GeoGebra is affected by the effort required to learn to use it. These results were also supported by Aman et al. (2020) and Khlaisang et al. (2019) who together added that PU does not influence ATT only, but actual use (USAGE). The ATT construct has a strong correlation with USAGE (Aman et al., 2020; Mac Callum & Jeffrey, 2014). The positive attitude of pre-service teachers towards GeoGebra reinforces USAGE

Previous studies in educational context have empirically established that TTF positively influences both users' attitude towards technologies and actual usage of technologies (Alamri et al., 2020; Gan et al., 2017; McGill & Klobas, 2009). Alamri et al. (2020), for example, discovered that TTF has a considerable impact on students' attitude towards the usage of educational technologies. Gan et al. (2017) noted that TTF had a substantial impact on GeoGebra usage in higher education. McGill and Klobas (2009) reported that TTF extensively influences both user's ATT and USAGE. TTF was found to be affected by PEOU (Isaac et al., 2019).

Empirical studies have shown that task-technology fit is influenced by system quality (Aldholay et al., 2018; Isaac et al., 2019). Aldholay et al. (2018) found that Yemen university students' TTF is influenced by system quality. Isaac et al. (2019) also reported that TTF is influenced by both system quality and system compatibility. Congruent with the findings of Isaac et al. (2019), Alamri et al. (2020) reported that both system actual usage and TTF are influenced by system compatibility. Also, user satisfaction positively correlates with TTF (Gharbawi & Bassam, 2016).

#### 3. Theoretical Framework

According to the TAM, people's behavioral intention (BI) to utilize a new information system (IS) is influenced by both its perceived usefulness (PU) and their attitude towards it (ATT) (Davis et al., 1989). That is, a person's attitude toward an IS Aldolic influenced by its utility and the effort required to learn how to utilize it (Mutambara & Bayaga, 2020a). Thus, the TAM postulates that PU is predicted by PEOU, and they are both influenced by external factors (Davis et al., 1989). The TAM is also considered as a well-established and robust technology acceptance theory (Chibisa et al., 2021; Mutambara & Bayaga, 2021).

Even though the TAM is considered robust in predicting technology acceptance, other researchers have criticized the TAM (Dishaw & Strong, 1999; Venkatesh et al., 2003). The TAM was critiqued by Venkatesh et al. (2003) for having a low explanatory power of users' perceptions towards IS. Venkatesh et al. (2003) suggested that adding external variables improves the TAM's explanatory power, and this was supported by several studies (Khlaisang et al., 2019; Mutambara & Bayaga, 2021; Pittalis, 2020). The TAM is also critiqued for its lack of task focus when explaining the use of new technology (Dishaw & Strong, 1999).

Information technology is a tool that allows users to complete organizational tasks (Dishaw & Strong, 1999). Furthermore, Dishaw and Strong (1999) averred that a lack of task focus when evaluating acceptance of a new information system contributes to mixed results in new information system evaluations. In dealing with these weaknesses, the current study extended the TAM by adding the TTF constructs.

### 4. Conceptual Framework

The use of a hybrid TAM/TTF model was appropriate for this study, given both separate models assessed different aspects of rural Eswatini pre-service teachers' acceptance of GeoGebra for learning circle theorems. Most of the TAM variables and hypotheses were retained in this new model. The TTF variables extend the TAM by considering how the task impacts use. The current study posits that the TTF construct influences perceived usefulness, perceived attitude towards, and actual usage, while the TTF itself is predicted by perceived ease of use, system quality, system compatibility, and user satisfaction. System compatibility also influences actual usage. **Error! Reference source not found.1** shows the proposed G eoGebra acceptance hybrid model. The model constructs and hypotheses follow thereafter.



Error! Reference source not found.1: Conceptual framework

#### 4.1 User Satisfaction (U\_SA)

This study defines user satisfaction as the intensity with which rural Eswatini preservice teachers find satisfaction in their individual decision to use GeoGebra to learn circle theorems. User satisfaction is regarded as among the most important indicators of the success of information systems (IS) (DeLone & McLean, 2016; Gharbawi & Bassam, 2016). Studies have shown that U\_SA has a positive effect on TTF (Alamri et al., 2020; Isaac et al., 2019). This study proposed that if rural Eswatini pre-service teachers are satisfied with GeoGebra for learning circle theorems, they will find it (GeoGebra) fit for the task. Therefore, the hypothesis for the construct is:

H13: Rural Eswatini pre-service teachers' U\_SA influences their TTF.

# 4.2 System Compatibility (COM)

The degree to which a system is technically sound, flexible, and sophisticated is defined as system compatibility (Adeniji et al., 2018). Prior studies found contradicting results on the effect of COM on TTF. Isaac et al. (2019) reported that university students' system compatibility has a significant positive effect on their

TTF. Contrary to the findings of Isaac et al. (2019), Islam and Azad (2015) revealed that system compatibility has no effect on task technology fit. This study proposed that if rural Eswatini pre-service teachers find GeoGebra to be technically sound, flexible, and sophisticated, they will perceive it fit for learning circle theorems. Therefore, the following hypotheses were proposed:

H11: Rural Eswatini pre-service teachers' COM influences their TTF.

H1: Rural Eswatini pre-service teachers' COM influences their USAGE.

## 4.3. System Quality (QUAL)

Isaac et al. (2019) defined system quality as the degree to which an individual perceives that an IS is simple to operate, connect, and learn, as well as pleasurable to use. Because an IS has various characteristics, such as system aspects, quality aspects, and other technical concerns, Ali and Younes (2013) defined system quality as a multidimensional process focused on multiple aspects. According to Aldholay et al. (2018) and Isaac et al. (2019), system quality has a beneficial effect on TTF. This study hypothesizes that if rural Eswatini pre-service teachers find GeoGebra easy to use, connect, and learn, as well as entertaining to use, they will consider it appropriate for learning circle theorems. As a result, the following hypothesis was established:

H12: Rural Eswatini pre-service teachers' QUAL influences their TTF.

## 4.4. Task-Technology Fit (TTF)

The task-technology fit model is a commonly used as a theoretical framework for measuring the influence of information technology on performance, examining usage impacts, and judging the match between task and technology features (Wu & Chen, 2017). The TTF, as described by Goodhue and Thompson (1995), is a crucial component in explaining work performance levels. It is a matter of how the capabilities of the IS meet the tasks that the user must do. According to Wu and Chen (2017), the view of whether a certain technology fits well with the current values of users, its perceived usefulness, can be used to develop perceptions of actually using the technology. Furthermore, empirical studies show that TTF influences PU; that is, when the task-to-technology fit is better, users perceive the technology to be more useful (Wu & Chen, 2017).

Previous studies in educational context have empirically established that TTF positively influences both users' attitude towards technologies and actual usage of technologies (Alamri et al., 2020; Gan et al., 2017; McGill & Klobas, 2009). This study proposes that if rural Eswatini pre-service teachers find GeoGebra fit for learning circle theorems, they will realize its usefulness, have positive attitudes towards it and will use it. It is therefore hypothesized that:

H2: Rural Eswatini pre-service teachers' TTF influences their USAGE.

H10: Rural Eswatini pre-service teachers' TTF influences their PU.

H6: Rural Eswatini pre-service teachers' TTF influences their ATT.

# 4.5. Perceived Attitude Towards (ATT)

Venkatesh et al. (2003) defined ATT as a person's total emotional reaction to the use of a new IS. In the current study, perceived attitude towards was defined as the overall affective reaction of Eswatini pre-service teachers towards the use of

GeoGebra. Prior studies have shown that pre-service teachers' perceived attitude towards, influence their actual use (Montrieux et al., 2014; Siyam, 2019). Siyam (2019) emphasized the importance of managing pre-service teachers' attitude towards, as ATT is the best predictor of their technology adoption. Teo et al. (2009) reported that pre-service teachers' attitude predicts their actual usage. Similar results were reported by Aman et al. (2020), who reported that pre-service teachers' actual use is influenced by their attitude. If rural Eswatini pre-service teachers have a positive attitude towards the use of GeoGebra, they will use it for learning circle theorems. Therefore, the hypothesis is that:

H3: Rural Eswatini pre-service teachers' ATT influences their USAGE.

## 4.6. Perceived Ease of Use (PEOU)

Perceived ease of use is user's perseption that the use of an information system will be free of cognitive effort (Davis et al., 1989). Several studies have shown that perceived ease of use influences pre-services teachers' perceived usefulness (Pittalis, 2020; Teo et al., 2015; Teo et al., 2009). The use of technology for learning involves additional effort of learning the technology (Pittalis, 2020). This work load increases when the technology is difficult or confusing to use (Teo et al., 2015). Hence, the perception that it is difficult to use GeoGebra to learn circle theorems will likely discourage rural Eswatini pre-service teachers from using it. Studies have shown that perceived attitude is influenced by perceived ease of use (Joo et al., 2018; Papadakis, 2018). Previous studies also show that PEOU influences TTF (Aldholay et al., 2018; Isaac et al., 2019). If rural Eswatini pre-service teachers found GeoGebra easy for the learning of circle theorems, then they will have a positive attitude towards it and use it. It is therefore hypothesized that:

- H14: Rural Eswatini pre-service teachers' PEOU influences their TTF.
- H9: Rural Eswatini pre-service teachers' PEOU influences their PU.
- H7: Rural Eswatini pre-service teachers' PEOU influences their ATT.

#### 4.7. Perceived Usefulness (PU)

Perceived usefulness was defined in educational context as a person's perception that using information communication and technology will improve teaching and learning (Mutambara & Bayaga, 2020b). Studies have shown that perceived usefulness influences perceived attitude towards, and actual usage (Lin & Huang, 2008; Siegel, 2008; Wu & Chen, 2017). Perceived usefulness is also reported to influence learners' actual usage (Lin & Huang, 2008). It can be proposed that rural Eswatini pre-service teachers' perceived attitude towards use is influenced by their belief that using GeoGebra for learning circle theorems will improve their performance. Therefore, the hypotheses for the construct PU are:

H8: Rural Eswatini pre-service teachers' PU influences their ATT.

H4: Rural Eswatini pre-service teachers' PU influences their USAGE.

# 5. Methodology

# 5.1 Research Design

This study made use of a cross sectional survey design. A survey design provides an accurate depiction of a population's attitudes by analyzing a subset of the population (Creswell, 2015). A cross-sectional survey was conducted to give a quantitative description of the views of rural Eswatini pre-service teachers' attitudes towards the use of GeoGebra for the learning of circle theorems.

#### 5.2 Data collection tool

A questionnaire survey was employed to assess the utilization of GeoGebra by rural Eswatini pre-service teachers for studying circle theorems. This questionnaire was employed because it enabled the gathering of a significant amount of data from rural Eswatini pre-service teachers in a short time and at a low cost. The first section of the survey included biographic information about rural Eswatini pre-service teachers. The second section was devoted to measuring the conceptual model's constructs, such as TTF, PEOU, ATT, PU, U\_SA, COM, QUAL, and actual use. Items from previously validated and reliable instruments were used to assess PEOU, ATT, and PU (Mutambara & Bayaga, 2020a). The items TTF, U\_SA, COM, QUAL, and actual use were adopted from the study of Gharbawi and Bassam (2016). A 7-point Likert scale was used to assess these constructs.

#### 5.3 Participants

The participants of this study comprised of pre-service teachers studying Mathematics at a rural Eswatini colleges. Eswatini has four teachers' colleges and universities (Ministry of Education and Training, 2013). Three of them are in urban areas, while one is in a rural location (Ministry of Education and Training, 2013). As a result, the population of this study included all pre-service teachers learning circle theorems at a rural teachers' training institution in Eswatini. All pre-service teachers at the rural teachers' college, who were studying circle theorems, were asked to take part in this study. There was a total of 187 preservice teachers chosen.

According to Hair et al. (2017), the minimal sample size for formative partial least squares-structural equation modeling, should be 10 times the number of indicators of the construct with the most indicators. In this study, perceived usefulness was the construct with the most indicators (five). The minimum predicted sample size for this investigation was 50, as per the recommendation by Hair Jr et al. (2014). This study's actual sample size was 187, which was much larger than the recommended 50. Most of the participants in this research were females (53 %), while males were in the minority (47%).

#### 5.4 Data analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to analyze the data first, and then the model was evaluated using partial least squares-structural equation modeling. The analysis of the model was carried out in two parts. Firstly, the measurement model was assessed. Secondly, the structural model was evaluated.

#### 5.5 Measurement Model

The extracted values of outer loadings, composite reliability (CR), and average variance extracted values (AVE) are used to assess convergent validity (Hair Jr et al., 2021; Hair Jr et al., 2017). In this study, all of the outer loadings in Table 1 and in Figure 2 were more than the cut-off value of 0.7 as per recommendation (Hair Jr et al., 2021; Hair Jr et al., 2017). These findings indicated that item reliability was satisfactory. All Cronbach's alpha (CA), rho A, and CR values were more than 0.7, indicating satisfactory internal consistency as suggested (Hair Jr et al., 2021; Hair Jr et al., 2021; Hair Jr et al., 2017).

| Table 1: Measurement Model |           |          |       |       |       |       |  |  |  |
|----------------------------|-----------|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|--|
| Construct                  | Indicator | Loadings | CA    | rho_A | CR    | AVE   |  |  |  |
| A T A                      | ATT1      | 0.868    |       | 0.920 | 0.943 |       |  |  |  |
|                            | ATT2      | 0.885    | 0.010 |       |       |       |  |  |  |
| AIA                        | ATT3      | 0.918    | 0.919 |       |       | 0.805 |  |  |  |
|                            | ATT4      | 0.916    |       |       |       |       |  |  |  |
| COM                        | COMP1     | 0.938    | 0.947 | 0.853 | 0.929 | 0.97  |  |  |  |
| COMP                       | COMP2     | 0.923    | 0.847 |       |       | 0.807 |  |  |  |
|                            | PEOU1     | 0.846    |       | 0.856 | 0.900 | 0.692 |  |  |  |
| DEOU                       | PEOU2     | 0.853    | 0.950 |       |       |       |  |  |  |
| FEOU                       | PEOU3     | 0.833    | 0.852 |       |       |       |  |  |  |
|                            | PEOU4     | 0.795    |       |       |       |       |  |  |  |
|                            | PU1       | 0.759    |       | 0.869 | 0.901 |       |  |  |  |
| PU                         | PU2       | 0.796    |       |       |       | 0.606 |  |  |  |
|                            | PU3       | 0.890    | 0.855 |       |       | 0.696 |  |  |  |
|                            | PU4       | 0.885    |       |       |       |       |  |  |  |
| OUU                        | QUIL1     | 0.909    | 0.759 | 0.765 | 0.892 |       |  |  |  |
| QUIL                       | QUIL2     | 0.885    | 0.758 |       |       | 0.805 |  |  |  |
| TTE                        | TTF1      | 0.910    | 0.804 | 0.806 | 0.911 | 0.826 |  |  |  |
| 11F                        | TTF2      | 0.919    | 0.004 |       |       | 0.000 |  |  |  |
| USAGE                      | USAGE1    | 0.930    |       | 0.943 | 0.952 | 0.801 |  |  |  |
|                            | USAGE2    | 0.756    |       |       |       |       |  |  |  |
|                            | USAGE3    | 0.934    | 0.936 |       |       |       |  |  |  |
|                            | USAGE4    | 0.906    |       |       |       |       |  |  |  |
|                            | USAGE5    | 0.937    |       |       |       |       |  |  |  |
| LLCAT                      | U_SAT1    | 0.829    | 0.750 | 0.004 | 0.882 | 0.700 |  |  |  |
| U_SAT                      | U_SAT2    | 0.945    | 0.750 | 0.904 |       | 0.790 |  |  |  |

Jr et al., 2017). The AVE values more than the cut off value of 0.5 were considered. Convergent validity was confirmed with acceptable item reliability, AVE, and internal consistency (Hair Jr et al., 2021).

The Fornell-Larcker criterion is used to assess discriminant validity (Hair Jr et al., 2017). Table 2 demonstrates that the square root of each latent variable's AVE value was greater than the latent variable's strongest correlation with any other latent variable, as stated by Hair Jr, et al. (2021). The findings revealed that each construct can be distinguished from any other construct in the model.

| Table 2: Fornell-Larcker criterion |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |  |  |
|------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|
|                                    | ATT   | COMP  | PEOU  | PU    | QUIL  | TTF   | USAGE | U_SAT |  |  |
| ATT                                | 0.897 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |  |  |
| COMP                               | 0.680 | 0.931 |       |       |       |       |       |       |  |  |
| PEOU                               | 0.427 | 0.510 | 0.832 |       |       |       |       |       |  |  |
| PU                                 | 0.614 | 0.518 | 0.359 | 0.834 |       |       |       |       |  |  |
| QUIL                               | 0.607 | 0.574 | 0.525 | 0.610 | 0.897 |       |       |       |  |  |
| TTF                                | 0.669 | 0.762 | 0.463 | 0.483 | 0.532 | 0.915 |       |       |  |  |

| USAGE | 0.838 | 0.691 | 0.504 | 0.527 | 0.616 | 0.687 | 0.895 |       |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| U_SAT | 0.383 | 0.228 | 0.166 | 0.497 | 0.461 | 0.349 | 0.309 | 0.889 |

#### 5.6 Structural model

After the measurement model's appropriateness was confirmed, the structural model was evaluated. The four-step structural model assessment by Hair Jr et al. (2021) was used in this study. The first phase, according to Hair Jr et al. (2021), is to examine the structural model for collinearity, followed by the importance and relevance of the path coefficients, the model's explanatory power, and finally the model's predictive power.

The variance inflation factor (VIF) values were utilized to test the measurement model's collinearity. Table 3 shows that all the VIF values were lower than four, indicating that the model had no collinearity issues (Hair Jr et al., 2021). The bootstrapping approach (with 5000 subsamples) was used to determine the relevance of the path coefficients. The results from Table 3 show that out of 14 hypotheses tested five were rejected, while nine were accepted.

The rejected hypotheses were having p-values greater than 0.05 and a t-value less than 1.96. The rejected paths are COMP to USAGE ( $\beta$  = 0.102, p > 0.05), PEOU to ATT ( $\beta$  = 0.086, p > 0.05), PU to USAGE ( $\beta$  = - 0.031, p > 0.05), PEOU to TTF ( $\beta$  = 0.080, p > 0.05), and QUIL to TTF ( $\beta$  = 0.029, p > 0.05). The nine paths which were supported by data are TTF to PU ( $\beta$  = 0.403, p < 0.05), TTF to USAGE ( $\beta$  = 0.136, p < 0.05), TTF to ATT ( $\beta$  = 0.454, p < 0.05), PU to ATT ( $\beta$  = 0.364, p < 0.05), COMP to TTF ( $\beta$  = 0.665, p < 0.05), PEOU to USAGE ( $\beta$  = 0.125, p < 0.05), PEOU to PU ( $\beta$  = 0.173, p < 0.05), U\_SAT to TTF ( $\beta$  = 0.685, p < 0.05), and ATT to USAGE ( $\beta$  = 0.642, p < 0.05).

| Path          | Std<br>Beta | Std<br>Error | T-<br>Statistics | P-<br>Values | Decision | f-<br>squared | VIF   |
|---------------|-------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-------|
| ATT -> USAGE  | 0.642       | 0.051        | 12.679           | 0.000        | Accepted | 0.671         | 2.452 |
| COMP -> TTF   | 0.665       | 0.052        | 12.878           | 0.000        | Accepted | 0.708         | 1.640 |
| COMP -> USAGE | 0.102       | 0.075        | 1.361            | 0.174        | Rejected | 0.014         | 2.907 |
| PEOU -> ATT   | 0.086       | 0.066        | 1.303            | 0.193        | Rejected | 0.013         | 1.313 |
| PEOU -> PU    | 0.173       | 0.073        | 2.359            | 0.019        | Accepted | 0.031         | 1.273 |
| PEOU -> TTF   | 0.080       | 0.055        | 1.460            | 0.145        | Rejected | 0.011         | 1.528 |
| PEOU -> USAGE | 0.125       | 0.058        | 2.145            | 0.032        | Accepted | 0.045         | 1.397 |
| PU -> ATT     | 0.364       | 0.071        | 5.129            | 0.000        | Accepted | 0.225         | 1.345 |
| PU -> USAGE   | -0.031      | 0.044        | 0.688            | 0.492        | Rejected | 0.002         | 1.667 |
| QUIL -> TTF   | 0.029       | 0.071        | 0.413            | 0.680        | Rejected | 0.001         | 2.028 |
| TTF -> ATT    | 0.454       | 0.065        | 6.975            | 0.000        | Accepted | 0.316         | 1.492 |
| TTF -> PU     | 0.403       | 0.071        | 5.679            | 0.000        | Accepted | 0.172         | 1.273 |
| TTF -> USAGE  | 0.136       | 0.055        | 2.496            | 0.013        | Accepted | 0.028         | 2.679 |
| U_SAT -> TTF  | 0.171       | 0.055        | 3.083            | 0.002        | Accepted | 0.059         | 1.284 |

**Table 3: Structural model** 

The model's explanatory power was assessed using the coefficient of determination (R-squared) and effect size (f-squared) values. According to Chin (1998), R-squared values of 0.19, 0.33, and 0.67 represent a weak, moderate, and substantial level of accuracy, respectively.

Figure 2 shows that ATT, PU, TTF, and USAGE had R-squared values of 0.564, 0.257, 0.619, and 0.749, respectively. PU's R-squared value (0.257) is considered weak (Chin, 1998). The R-squared values of ATT and TTF were moderate, while USAGE's R-squared value was substantial (Chin, 1998). These results show that the total contribution of predictors; COM, PEOU, PU, QUIL, TTF, U\_SAT, and ATT on the explained variance of USAGE is 74.9%. Figure 2 shows that QUIL and U\_SAT are predictors of TTF. COM is a predictor of TTF and they both influence USAGE. PEOU is a determinant of PU, ATT, TTF, and USAGE. ATT is influenced by PU and TTF. PU and ATT predict USAGE.



Figure 2: Structural model

The f-squared values of 0.02, 0.15, and 0.35, according to Chin (1998), correspond to effect sizes of small, medium, and large, respectively. The f-squared value of PEOU to PU (0.031), TTF to USAGE (0.028), and U\_SAT to TTF (0.059) are all considered small, as seen in Table 3. TTF to PU (0.172), TTF to ATT (0.316), and PU to ATT (0.256) all have a medium effect size while the effect size of ATT to USAGE (0.671) and COMP to TTF (0.708) are considered large.

The Stone-Geisser's Q-squared statistic was used to assess the model's predictive power. The endogenous variables ATT, PU, TTF, and USAGE obtained Q-squared values of 0.448, 0.173, 0.503, and 0.593, respectively. All the Q-squared values were greater than zero, indicating that the model's predictive significance was adequate (Hair Jr et al., 2017). This means that the predictors COMP, PEOU, PU, ATT, PEOU, QUIL, and U SAT can be used to forecast the use of GeoGebra to teach circle theorems to rural Eswatini pre-service teachers.

## 6. Discussion

The primary goal of this research was to explore the factors that influence Eswatini pre-service teachers' acceptance of GeoGebra in the learning of circle theorems. This has been accomplished by combining the technology acceptance model and task technology fit. The hybrid model explained 74.9% of the variance in Eswatini pre-service teachers' acceptance of GeoGebra in the learning of circle theorems. This suggests that variables such as task-technology fit, system quality, system compatibility, perceived ease of use, perceived usefulness, perceived attitude toward, and user satisfaction accounted for 74.9% of the total variance. All the Q-squared values were greater than zero, indicating that the model can be used to predict Eswatini pre-service teachers' acceptance of GeoGebra in the learning of circle theorems.

This study demonstrated that rural Eswatini pre-service teachers' perceived attitude towards GeoGebra for learning circle theorems influences their actual use. This is consistent with previous research (Aman et al., 2020; Eksail & Afari, 2019; Teo et al., 2009; Teo et al., 2008). This supports Mutambara and Bayaga's (2020a) claim that increasing teachers' attitudes toward the use of technology in learning improves its actual utilization. One probable reason for this finding is that Eswatini pre-service teachers realized that GeoGebra can help them to perform better on circle theorems. Furthermore, the usability of GeoGebra promotes rural Eswatini pre-service teachers' positive attitude towards the GeoGebra adaptive technology.

Rural Eswatini pre-service teachers' perceived ease of use of GeoGebra for learning circle theorems does not influence their perceived attitude towards actual use. These findings are surprising given the body of knowledge's widespread belief that perceived ease of use influences perceived attitude (Mutambara & Bayaga, 2021; Sánchez-Prieto et al., 2019; Teo & Milutinovic, 2015) and actual use (Sánchez-Prieto et al., 2019). These results were also in contradiction with the findings of Kalogiannakis and Papadakis (2019), who discovered that pre-service teachers' perceived ease of use influences their perceived attitude towards the use of ICT in education. Two possible explanations for these findings are the timing of data collection for this study and that the survey was conducted after the pre-service teachers had completed their post-test. This suggests that the pre-service teachers were already accustomed to the use of GeoGebra in the learning of circle theorems, since the effect of perceived ease of use diminishes with practice (Mutambara & Bayaga, 2020c). The survey was conducted when the pre-service teachers had already been subjected to, and were familiar with, GeoGebra. Additionally, rural Eswatini pre-service teachers perceived the use of GeoGebra as simple for learning circle theorems.

Task technology fit was found to influence actual use, perceived usefulness, and perceived attitude towards. These results support prior studies who reported a positive influence of task technology fit on perceived usefulness (Gharbawi & Bassam, 2016), perceived attitude towards (Alamri et al., 2020; Gan et al., 2017), and actual use (Glowalla & Sunyaev, 2014; Isaac et al., 2019). The ability of GeoGebra to experiment with circles to improve cognition in circle theorems influences the attitude of rural Eswatini pre-service teachers towards GeoGebra. These findings suggest that the ability of GeoGebra to fit and enhance cognition in circle theorems influenced rural Eswatini pre-service teachers' attitude towards it and the decision to use GeoGebra. Task technology fit is a major factor in explaining job performance levels (Goodhue & Thompson, 1995). Rural Eswatini pre-service teachers realized that GeoGebra can improve their performance in circle theorems, which increases their decision to use it.

Task technology fit also played a very important mediating role between actual use and its predictors; user satisfaction and system compatibility. This finding implies that the extent to which GeoGebra is perceived to line up with the immediate requirements, values, and prior experiences of rural Eswatini preservice teachers is insufficient to directly influence the use of GeoGebra, but it does contribute through the task technology fit.

Congruent to the findings of Kalogiannakis and Papadakis (2019) and Joo et al. (2018), their study discovered that the perceived usefulness of GeoGebra for learning circle theorems had a positive impact on the perceived attitude of rural Eswatini pre-service teachers. The findings are also in line with those of Bhattarai and Maharjan (2020), who discovered that pre-service teachers' intention to use technology in class is influenced by their belief that it improves their performance. A reasonable explanation for this finding is that rural Eswatini pre-service teachers discovered that they can easily manipulate objects inside circles after using GeoGebra when learning circle theorems. This can improve their comprehension of circle theorems. Hence, GeoGebra's ability to improve rural Eswatini pre-service teachers' circle theorem cognition improves their attitude towards it.

Rural Eswatini pre-service teachers' actual use of GeoGebra for learning circle theorems is unaffected by their perceived usefulness. These findings contradict those of Lin and Huang (2008) and Yang (2007), who found that the utility of technology influences its use by pre-service teachers. The findings of this study were unexpected, given that rural Eswatini pre-service teachers had previously used GeoGebra, and found it useful for learning circle theorems. One would expect GeoGebra's utility to have an impact on its actual use.

#### **6.1 Theoretical Implications**

The present study adds to the existing literature in five ways. First, the study provides empirical evidence that, despite the fact that the technology acceptance model was developed three decades ago (Davis et al., 1989), it can still be used to predict users' acceptance of technology.

Second, this study confirms that adding external variables that are context related improves the TAM's explanatory power (Venkatesh et al., 2003). In this study, the task technology fit, system quality, system compatibility, and user satisfaction added to the explanatory power of the TAM.

Third, this work adds to the body of knowledge by constructing a hybrid model for predicting the rural Eswatini pre-service teachers' acceptance of GeoGebra by extending the technology acceptance model. This would be a significant contribution to the acceptance of educational technology in developing countries, given that most researches to date were carried out in developed countries.

Fourth, the findings of the study showed that perceived attitude towards the use of GeoGebra for learning circle theorems was the strongest direct predictor of actual use by rural Eswatini pre-service teachers. This implies that the attitudes of rural pre-service teachers towards GeoGebra play an important role in its actual use to improve the cognition of circle theorems.

Fifth, the original technology acceptance model and technology task fit model have been applied in an educational context, and they have demonstrated that the two models can be combined to explain the actual use of technology in an educational context. This is useful for other researchers who are interested in developing conceptual frameworks for investigating the acceptance and use of electronic-learning technology in their educational contexts.

#### **6.2 Practical Contributions**

This study and its results have several practical implications. First, in practice, this research has contributed to a better understanding of the factors that can help or hinder the successful implementation of GeoGebra for learning circle theorems in rural Eswatini colleges. These factors can assist Eswatini teacher training institutions, researchers, and educational learning application-developers in creating successful educational learning applications. This is especially true in the context of African countries and other developing countries, where the situation in teacher education institutions is similar to that of Eswatini.

Second, this study discovered that user satisfaction and system compatibility are good predictors of technology task fit and through this finding, it can be implied that an educational learning application should be technically sound, flexible, and sophisticated in order for users to want to reuse it. This discovery assists educational learning application developers in inventing educational learning applications that are technically sound, flexible, and sophisticated, as this will improve their actual use.

Third, perceived attitude towards actual GeoGebra use was discovered to be the best predictor of actual use. Additionally, perceived attitude towards use was likewise discovered to play a critical mediating role between perceived usefulness and actual use. This finding implies that, for educational learning applications to be successfully implemented in Eswatini teacher training institutions, pre-service teachers' attitude towards technology integration in education should be positive. This discovery assists Eswatini teacher training institutions and researchers in determining factors that influence pre-service teachers' perceived attitude towards technology integration.

According to the findings of this study, perceived usefulness and technology task fit accounts for 56.4 % of the variance in perceived attitude towards use. It is critical for researchers to identify additional factors that influence pre-service teachers' attitude towards technology integration, as this plays a significant role in its actual use.

#### 6.3 Limitation of the study

This study was conducted at one institution of higher learning in one developing country. Hence, the generalizability of the results may need to be applied with caution.

#### 7. Conclusion

The study's goal was to identify the factors that influence rural Eswatini preservice teachers' use of GeoGebra in learning circle theorems. The study suggested a novel model to explain the use of GeoGebra for learning circle theorems. The model was created by incorporating the task technology fit into the technology acceptance model. A questionnaire was used to collect data. Partial least squaresstructural equation modeling was used to analyze the data. The model accounted for 74.9% of the variance in rural Eswatini pre-service teachers' use of GeoGebra for learning circle theorems. The study found that perceived attitude towards use, perceived ease of use, and technology task fit all had a direct impact on the actual use of GeoGebra for learning circle theorems. However, perceived usefulness was found to have an indirect effect on actual use via the mediating effect of perceived attitude towards use. The influence of user satisfaction and system compatibility on actual use was mediated by the task technology fit construct. It is critical for researchers to identify additional factors that influence pre-service teachers' attitudes towards technology integration in teaching and learning, as this plays a significant role in its actual use.

#### 8. References

- Adeniji, S. M., Ameen, S. K., Dambatta, B., & Orilonise, R. (2018). Effect of Mastery Learning Approach on Senior School Students' Academic Performance and Retention in Circle Geometry. *International Journal of Instruction*, 11(4), 951-962. https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1191669
- Adhikari, K. P. (2021). GeoGebra integrated instruction: Effectiveness and empowerment.SiddhajyotiInterdisciplinaryJournal,2(01),1-9.https://doi.org/10.3126/sij.v2i01.39197
- Adolphus, T. (2011). Problems of teaching and learning of geometry in secondary schools in Rivers State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Emerging Sciences*, 1(2), 143-152. https://www.stir.ac.uk/research/hub/publication/510419
- Alamri, M. M., Almaiah, M. A., & Al-Rahmi, W. M. (2020). The Role of Compatibility and Task-Technology Fit (TTF): On Social Networking Applications (SNAs) Usage as Sustainability in Higher Education. *IEEE Access*, 8, 161668-161681. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp?arnumber=9186601
- Aldholay, A. H., Abdullah, Z., Ramayah, T., Isaac, O., & Mutahar, A. M. (2018). Online learning usage and performance among students within public universities in Yemen. *International Journal of Services and Standards*, 12(2), 163-179. https://www.learntechlib.org/p/209952/
- Aman, A., Prasojo, L. D., Sofwan, M., Mukminin, A., Habibi, A., & Yaqin, L. N. (2020). Factors affecting indonesian pre-service teachers' use of m-LMS: A mix method study. *International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies (iJIM)*, 14(06), 137-147. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3991/ijim.v14i06.12035
- Amevor, G., & Bayaga, A. (2021). Assessing the Impact of Dynamic Software Environments (MATLAB) on Rural-Based Pre-Service Teachers' Spatial-Visualisation Skills. *Contemporary Educational Technology*, 13(4). https://doi.org/10.30935/cedtech/11235

- Arbain, N., & Shukor, N. A. (2015). The effects of GeoGebra on students achievement. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 172, 208-214. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.01.356
- Badu-Domfeh, A. K. (2020). Incorporating GeoGebra software in the teaching of circle theorem and its effect on the performance of students. University of Cape Coast Institutional Repository. http://hdl.handle.net/123456789/4630
- Baltaci, S., & Yildiz, A. (2015). GeoGebra 3D from the Perspectives of Elementary Pre-Service Mathematics Teachers Who Are Familiar with a Number of Software Programs. *Online Submission*, 10(1), 12-17. https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED569229
- Belgheis, S., & Kamalludeen, R. (2018). The Intention to Use GeoGebra in the Teaching of Mathematics among Malaysian Teachers. *Malaysian Online Journal of Educational Technology*, 6(1), 109-115. https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1165486
- Bhattarai, S., & Maharjan, S. (2020). Determining the Factors Affecting on Digital Learning Adoption among the Students in Kathmandu Valley: An Application of Technology Acceptance Model (TAM). *International Journal of Engineering and Management Research*, 10. https://doi.org/10.31033/ijemr.10.3.20
- Chen, C.-L. (2020). Predicting the Determinants of Dynamic Geometry Software Acceptance: A Two-Staged Structural Equation Modeling—Neural Network Approach. *International Journal of Information and Education Technology*, 10(6). http://www.ijiet.org/vol10/1403-IT046.pdf
- Chibisa, A., Tshabalala, M. G., & Maphalala, M. C. (2021). Pre-Service Teachers' Computer Self-Efficacy and the Use of Computers. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, 20(11). https://www.ijlter.org/index.php/ijlter/article/view/4460
- Chin, W. W. (1998). The partial least squares approach to structural equation modeling. *Modern methods for business research*, 295(2), 295-336. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/311766005\_The\_Partial\_Least\_Squa res\_Approach\_to\_Structural\_Equation\_Modeling
- Davis, F. D., Bagozzi, R. P., & Warshaw, P. R. (1989). User acceptance of computer technology: a comparison of two theoretical models. *Management science*, 35(8), 982-1003. https://www.jstor.org/stable/2632151
- DeLone, W. H., & McLean, E. R. (2016). Information systems success measurement. *Foundations and Trends*® *in Information Systems*, 2(1), 1-116. http://dx.doi.org/10.1561/2900000005
- Dishaw, M. T., & Strong, D. M. (1999). Extending the technology acceptance model with task-technology fit constructs. *Information & management*, 36(1), 9-21. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7206(98)00101-3
- Ministry of Education and Training. (2013). *The Swaziland Education and Training Sector Policy.* The Government of the Kingdom of Swaziland. https://www.yumpu.com/en/document/read/10466412/the-swazilandeducation-and-training-sector-policy-planipolis-
- Eksail, F. A. A., & Afari, E. (2019). Factors affecting trainee teachers' intention to use technology: A structural equation modeling approach. *Education and Information Technologies*, 1-17. https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1258938
- Erdoğan, A., Baloğlu, M., & Kesici, Ş. (2011). Gender differences in geometry and mathematics achievement and self-efficacy beliefs in geometry. *Eurasian Journal of Educational Research*, 43, 91-106. https://hdl.handle.net/11511/53087
- Gan, C., Li, H., & Liu, Y. (2017). Understanding mobile learning adoption in higher education. The Electronic Library. https://doi.10.1108/EL-04-2016-0093
- Ganesan, N., & Eu, L. K. (2020). The Effect of Dynamic Geometry Software Geometer's Sketchpad on Students' Achievement in Topic Circle among Form Two Students.

*Malaysian Online Journal of Educational Technology, 8*(2), 58-68. https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1251616

- Gharbawi, A., & Bassam, K. (2016). Task-technology fit, user satisfaction, and information system acceptance in relief and social services sector. https://ideas.repec.org/a/ids/ijbisy/v38y2021i2p145-167.html
- Glowalla, P., & Sunyaev, A. (2014). ERP system fit-an explorative task and data quality perspective. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*. https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/JEIM-08-2013-0062/full/html
- Goodhue, D. L., & Thompson, R. L. (1995). Task-technology fit and individual performance. *MIS quarterly*, 213-236. https://www.jstor.org/stable/249689
- Hair Jr, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. M., Sarstedt, M., Danks, N. P., & Ray, S. (2021). Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) Using R: A Workbook. Springer Nature. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-80519-7
- Hair Jr, J. F., Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C. M., & Gudergan, S. P. (2017). Advanced issues in partial least squares structural equation modeling. Sage publications. https://doi.org/10.3926/oss.37
- Isaac, O., Aldholay, A., Abdullah, Z., & Ramayah, T. (2019). Online learning usage within Yemeni higher education: The role of compatibility and task-technology fit as mediating variables in the IS success model. *Computers & Education*, 136, 113-129. https://www.learntechlib.org/p/209952/
- Islam, A. N., & Azad, N. (2015). Satisfaction and continuance with a learning management system: Comparing perceptions of educators and students. *The International Journal of Information and Learning Technology*. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJILT-09-2014-0020
- Jin, G., Zou, L., Jiang, Y., Zong, Z., & Sun, Z. (2021). A circle theorem technique to handle 2-D flows around arbitrary cylinders in discrete vortex method. *Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics*, 209, 104496. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jweia.2020.104496
- Johar, R. (2021). Examining Students' Intention to Use Augmented Reality in a ProjectBased Geometry Learning Environment. *International Journal of Instruction*, 14(2).

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/350561302\_Examining\_Students'\_In tention\_to\_Use\_Augmented\_Reality\_in\_a\_Project-Based\_Geometry\_Learning\_Environment

- Joo, Y. J., Park, S., & Lim, E. (2018). Factors influencing preservice teachers' intention to use technology: TPACK, teacher self-efficacy, and technology acceptance model. *Journal of Educational Technology & Society*, 21(3), 48-59. https://www.jstor.org/stable/26458506
- Kalogiannakis, M., & Papadakis, S. (2019). Evaluating pre-service kindergarten teachers' intention to adopt and use tablets into teaching practice for natural sciences. *International Journal of Mobile Learning and Organisation*, 13(1), 113-127. https://www.inderscienceonline.com/doi/abs/10.1504/IJMLO.2019.096479
- Khlaisang, J., Teo, T., & Huang, F. (2019). Acceptance of a flipped smart application for learning: a study among Thai university students. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 1-18. https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2019.1612447
- Korenova, L. (2017). GeoGebra in Teaching of Primary School Mathematics. *International Journal for Technology in Mathematics education*, 24(3). https://www.researchgate.net/publication/321705050\_GeoGebra\_in\_teaching\_ of\_primary\_school\_mathematics

- Kovács, Z. (2018). Achievements and challenges in automatic locus and envelope animations in dynamic geometry. *Mathematics in Computer Science*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11786-018-0390-0
- Kwadwo, A. E., & Asomani, W. D. (2021). Investigating Colleges of Education Students' difficulty in understanding Circle Geometry. *ADRRI Journal of Physical and Natural Sciences*, 4(October-December), 1-27. https://doi.org/10.55058/adrrijpns.v4i3(4)%20October-December.750
- Lin, T.-C., & Huang, C.-C. (2008). Understanding knowledge management system usage antecedents: An integration of social cognitive theory and task technology fit. *Information & management*, 45(6), 410-417. https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.461.60&rep=rep1 &type=pdf
- Mac Callum, K., & Jeffrey, L. (2014). Factors impacting teachers' adoption of mobile learning. *Journal of Information Technology Education*, 13. https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1040355
- McGill, T. J., & Klobas, J. E. (2009). A task-technology fit view of learning management system impact. *Computers & Education*, 52(2), 496-508. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2008.10.002
- Montrieux, H., Grove, F. D., & Schellens, T. (2014). Mobile learning in secondary education: Teachers' and students' perceptions and acceptance of tablet computers. *International Journal of Mobile and Blended Learning*, 6(2), 26-40. https://doi.org/10.4018/ijmbl.2014040103
- Mukamba, E., & Makamure, C. (2020). Integration of GeoGebra in Teaching and Learning Geometric Transformations at Ordinary Level in Zimbabwe. *Contemporary Mathematics and Science Education*, 1(1). https://doi.org/10.30935/conmaths/8431
- Mushipe, M., & Ogbonnaya, U. I. (2019). Geogebra and grade 9 learners' achievement in linear functions. https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v14i08.9581
- Mutambara, D., & Bayaga, A. (2020a). Determinants of Mobile Learning Acceptance for STEM Education in Rural Areas. Computers & Education, 104010. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2020.104010
- Mutambara, D., & Bayaga, A. (2020b). Rural-based Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics teachers' and learners' acceptance of mobile learning. *SA Journal of Information Management*, 22(1), 10. https://sajim.co.za/index.php/sajim/article/view/1200/1724
- Mutambara, D., & Bayaga, A. (2020). Understanding Rural Parents' Behavioral Intention to Allow Their Children to Use Mobile Learning. In M. Hattingh, M. Matthee, H. Smuts, I. Pappas, Y. Dwivedi, & M. Mäntymäki (Eds), *Responsible Design*, *Implementation and Use of Information and Communication Technology*. I3E 2020. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 12066. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-44999-5\_43
- Mutambara, D., & Bayaga, A. (2021). Learners' and teachers' acceptance of mobile learning: an exploratory study in a developing country. *International Journal of Learning Technology*, 16(2), 90-108. https://doi.org/10.1504/ijlt.2021.117763
- Nwoke, B. I., & Chidi, O. S. (2020). Geogebra software: A veritable pedagogical tool for improving students'achievement in geometry. *International Journal of Advanced Academic Research*, 6(7). https://doi.org/10.46654/ij.24889849
- Padmanathan, Y., & Jogulu, L. N. (2018). Mobile learning readiness among Malaysian polytechnic students. *Journal of Information System and Technology Management*, 3(8), 113-125. https://doi.org/10.5539/ass.v8n12p276
- Pamungkas, M. D., Rahmawati, F., & Dinara, H. A. (2020). *Integrating GeoGebra into Space Geometry in College*. Paper presented at the 3rd International Conference on

Learning Innovation and Quality Education (ICLIQE 2019). https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.200129.123

- Papadakis, S. (2018). Evaluating pre-service teachers' acceptance of mobile devices with regards to their age and gender: a case study in Greece. *International Journal of Mobile* Learning and Organisation, 12(4), 336-352. https://doi.org/10.1504/IJMLO.2018.095130
- Pittalis, M. (2020). Extending the technology acceptance model to evaluate teachers' intention to use dynamic geometry software in geometry teaching. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 1-20. https://doi.org/10.1080/0020739X.2020.1766139
- Safrida, L., Setiawan, T., Yudianto, E., Ambarwati, R., & Putri, I. (2020). Integrating GeoGebra into geometry space learning: a lesson from traditional cultural festival tumpeng sewu. Paper presented at the Journal of Physics: Conference Series. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1465/1/012046
- Sánchez-Prieto, J. C., Hernández-García, Á., García-Peñalvo, F. J., Chaparro-Peláez, J., & Olmos-Migueláñez, S. (2019). Break the walls! Second-Order barriers and the acceptance of mLearning by first-year pre-service teachers. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 95, 158-167. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2019.01.019
- Septian, A., & Monariska, E. (2021). The improvement of mathematics understanding ability on system of linear equation materials and students learning motivation using geogebra-based educational games. *Al-Jabar: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 12(2), 371-384. https://doi.org/10.24042/ajpm.v12i2.9927
- Sheikh Qasem, K. W. (2020). The Impact of Geogebra Software on the Performance of Grade 10-Algebra Students in Graphing Quadratic Functions in Al Ain. https://scholarworks.uaeu.ac.ae/curriculum\_theses/7
- Siegel, D. (2008). Accepting technology and overcoming resistance to change using the motivation and acceptance model. https://stars.library.ucf.edu/etd/3559
- Siyam, N. (2019). Factors impacting special education teachers' acceptance and actual use of technology. *Education and Information Technologies*, 1–23. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-018-09859-y
- Suryani, A. I., Anwar, A., Hajidin, H., & Rofiki, I. (2020). The practicality of mathematics learning module on triangles using GeoGebra. Paper presented at the Journal of Physics: Conf. Series. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1470/1/012079
- Tay, M. K., & Wonkyi, T. M. (2018). Effect of using Geogebra on senior high school students' performance in circle theorems. African Journal of Educational Studies in Mathematics and Sciences, 14, 1-18. https://www.ajol.info/index.php/ajesms/article/view/173391
- Teo, T., Fan, X., & Du, J. (2015). Technology acceptance among pre-service teachers: Does gender matter? *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 31(3). https://doi.org/10.14742/ajet.1672
- Teo, T., Lee, C. B., Chai, C. S., & Wong, S. L. (2009). Assessing the intention to use technology among pre-service teachers in Singapore and Malaysia: A multigroup invariance analysis of the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM). *Computers & Education*, 53(3), 1000-1009. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2009.05.017
- Teo, T., Luan, W. S., & Sing, C. C. (2008). A cross-cultural examination of the intention to use technology between Singaporean and Malaysian pre-service teachers: an application of the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM). *Journal of Educational Technology & Society*, 11(4), 265-280. https://www.learntechlib.org/p/75056/.
- Teo, T., & Milutinovic, V. (2015). Modelling the intention to use technology for teaching mathematics among pre-service teachers in Serbia. Australasian Journal of Educational Technology, 31(4). https://doi.org/10.14742/ajet.1668

- Tran, T., & Nguyen, N. G. (2020). Teaching Geometry According to the Discovery Method with GeoGebra Software: A case study in Vietnam. Paper presented at the 3rd International Conference on Research of Educational Administration and Management (ICREAM 2019). https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.200130.166
- Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., & Davis, F. D. (2003). User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. *MIS quarterly*, 425-478. Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., & Davis, F. D. (2003). User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view
- Venter, S. J. (2015). Factors that influence mathematics teachers' use of dynamic software for instruction. University of Pretoria. http://hdl.handle.net/2263/44250
- Wu, B., & Chen, X. (2017). Continuance intention to use MOOCs: Integrating the technology acceptance model (TAM) and task technology fit (TTF) model. *Computers* in Human Behavior, 67, 221-232. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2016.10.028
- Yang, H.-H. (2007). The effect of technology acceptance on undergraduate students' usage of WebCT as a collaborative tool. University of Central Florida. http://purl.fcla.edu/fcla/etd/CFE0001761
- Zulnaidi, H., Oktavika, E., & Hidayat, R. (2020). Effect of use of GeoGebra on achievement of high school mathematics students. *Education and Information Technologies*, 25(1), 51-72. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-019-09899-y